

Chronicle Of Conceits:

Oxymorons, Nullities, Myths, And Heretical Sayings

(c) Brian Fusonie, 2020

Note: This text shares much in common with the "Meditations" series, but it covers a wider range of topics than a meditation on a particular subject matter. It is not an exhaustive list of the many other oxymorons, nullities, myths, and heretical sayings that comprise the 'traditions' of numerous sects, denominations, and cults. Originally I wrote down a draft list of nearly fifty such topics, which included such nullities as 'tithing substitutes for having to obey all of God's commanded laws.' Then I narrowed the list down to these twenty-three topics. There is some necessary overlap between many of these topics. Again, these are not all of the false-dogmas believed by people today, but I think these are the most important with broadest use in contemporary religions.

"God has come only to test you." Exodus 20:20

"I the Lord am the one who deceives that prophet." Ezekiel 14:9

"If ... the sign or wonder foretold to you comes to pass, do not listen to the words of that prophet ... for the Lord, your God, is testing you ..." Deuteronomy 13:2-4 (Even Christians believe this when they pray: "Father do not subject us to the test." See Matthew 6:9-13).

Introduction: A "conceit" is defined as "a fantastic notion," and thus as belief in what cannot exist. A "nullity" is "the state or quality of being void or not existing." And "myth" is "a fictitious narrative." See March's Thesaurus And Dictionary. An "oxymoron" is a proposition or phrase that negates itself to be logically null. And "heretical saying or parable" is logically null because it either negates (is 'opposite of') the real Nature of God's Person, or it contradicts the way God creates, or it contradicts the true natural law intelligent design of the human person and our required "obedience to all God's laws." Or it is null because it presents an 'afterlife' contradicting the true nature of the "new earth" bodily afterlife promised by God in Scripture. Isaiah 65:17-18; Isaiah 66:15-22. You will be surprised to find that much of this list of "things that cannot logically exist" are 'doctrines' or 'dogmas' of 'mainstream' religious denominations. It is as if God were writing a script called "all that can never exist," and those denominations are the main enterprises in that null script or "test."

God warned Israel in Scripture that God would "test" them, and that they were to obey His "every law," and not be deceived by miracle 'signs and wonders' done for "false-gods," or for "oracles," or for "false prophets." God warned the people: "If there arises in your midst a prophet or a dreamer who promises you a

sign or wonder, saying, 'Let us go after other gods,' ... and the sign or wonder foretold to you comes to pass, do not listen to the words of that prophet or that dreamer; for the Lord, your God, is testing you to know whether you really love the Lord, your God, with all your heart and soul." Deuteronomy 13:2-4. God also warned the people that He would "deceive" even prophets. "As for the prophet, if he speaks deceiving words, I the Lord am the one who deceives that prophet." Ezekiel 14:9. Moses also warned of some actions of God that "God has come only to test you." Exodus 20:20. God "tests" you about your obedience to Him. When Saul broke faith with God by seeking what was forbidden by God's laws -- he sought a 'medium' to 'conjure up the dead' saint Samuel -- God made the hoax Samuel 'apparition' only to tell Saul he would be punished for disobeying God by the death of himself and his sons. Soon after, Saul and his sons were killed. 1 Samuel 28:4-19; 31:1-7.

Another way to think of it is that when God makes a commanded law, such as "do not seek mediums," "nor seek counsel from the dead, nor ghosts, nor spirits," how can God "test" your obedience unless God makes hoaxes of 'mediums,' 'ghosts,' 'dead saint apparitions,' or 'spirits'? The fact that God authors those "tests," those hoaxes, does not make them good nor real. They are "tests." Illusions; God in disguises testing each person who dares seek such forbidden activities. And because God revealed to Israel truths about His lone Self Person, and because God made real doctrinal law commands, God then also invented "tests" about each of those laws and His divine Nature. He invented "tests" that "deceived" even "prophets," as foretold by God in Scripture. He made miracle paranormals about "false gods" to "test" you as warned in Deuteronomy 13:2-4. If there were no such "tests," how could God know if you would be obedient to Him or not? At least that is the apparent purpose of such hoaxes God called "tests" in Scripture.

Because of the lengthy list of such conceits, oxymorons, nullities, myths, and heretical sayings exposed in this text, I will try to limit my writing to brief logical proofs on each chapter subject matter. It is my hope that this discussion will help others to avoid the mistakes I or others have made in our journey with God. The key to understanding true doctrine is to first accept the true Nature of God's Person. When God is understood, true doctrine comes into plain focus. When God speaks His laws, He does not negate those laws. All that negates or 'makes null' His laws is itself a "test" -- a nullity. It cannot be His true saying if it negates any of His Being or His laws.

Chapter 1: "Judge not your neighbor, or you will be judged by God."

This heretical saying is an oxymoron and nullification of God's true commanded law to "judge your neighbor" but to do so "fairly" ('honestly'). Leviticus 19:15-18. I decided to start this text with a chapter about law, instead of about God's Nature of His existence, as a simple way to prove what is the 'nullification' of a commanded law. To make something "null" is defined as to make it "of no legal

effect or force." See March's Thesaurus And Dictionary. All contract (or covenant) lawyers know what it means to write something that is "null" because the terms of the alleged contract (covenant) nullify each other and make the entire proposed agreement "illusory," having no real force, no real obligation to obey each and all of its terms. I think this is an easy example which I am providing, when God commands one thing ("judge your neighbor") and someone else purporting to be 'God's agent or son' tells you to do the opposite ("do not judge your neighbor"). It is this simple, God refuses to be nullified by anyone. Plus one, minus one, equals zero (or "null"). When God gives a command (plus one), He will "test" to see if people would dare believe the opposite (minus one) and thereby 'nullify' God. It is easy to prove that "judge not your neighbor, or God will judge you" is not true doctrinal law; but rather it is based on a 'prayer' and 'parables' of Jesus that have been misused and over-generalized and become heretical 'tradition' -- but are not law. Moses gave true doctrinal law spoken to him directly by God, and intended to be law -- a command -- and not a 'parable,' nor an over-generalized saying. God commanded Moses to instruct the people to "judge your neighbor fairly ... Rebuke your neighbor frankly so that you will not share in his guilt." Leviticus 19:15-18. To "judge your neighbor," but do so "fairly," is the doctrinal law of God. Failure to obey His law is sin. And you will be judged by God if you thus sin. Therefore "you will be judged by God if you fail to properly and fairly judge your neighbor, and rebuke your neighbor so that you do not share in his or her sins." You will not be judged by God for obeying that commanded law. So Jesus spoke in 'reverse' of God's law; unless he was speaking only about very small and insignificant type sins such as very small venial sins; and not about mortal or serious sins. Those you can forgive, possibly ignore if of no great consequence. But only those that are trivial sins. Anything serious, and you are commanded to "judge for neighbor's sins, and rebuke them" to bring about their repentance. I will return to this later in this chapter.

Let us look at what Jesus said: "Forgive us our trespasses as we forgive those who trespass against us." Matthew 6:12. "If you forgive others their transgressions, your heavenly Father will forgive you. But if you do not forgive others, neither will your Father forgive you your transgressions." Matthew 6:14-15. "Blessed are the merciful, for they will be shown mercy." Matthew 5:7. "Stop judging, that you may not be judged. For as you judge, so will you be judged." Matthew 7:1-2. "Stop judging and you will not be judged. Stop condemning and you will not be condemned. Forgive and you will be forgiven." Luke 6:37. As I said, those sayings have become misused and over-generalized 'tradition.' They were spoken more as 'parables,' but cannot be divine doctrinal law, as was given to Moses: "judge your neighbor ... Rebuke your neighbor frankly ..." We can prove this. You cannot let a murderer go 'not judged' and go free because you do not want to be judged by God for your own sins! It is a nullification of God's law to condemn all murderers. God warns that if you fail to properly judge a murderer, God "will judge you both." Leviticus 20:1-5; Ezekiel 3:18-19; Numbers 35:31-34.

In addition, you cannot refuse to properly "judge your neighbor" if they are engaged in adultery, premarital sex, prostitution, abortion, homosexuality, incest, child molestation, assaults, idolatry, polygamy, racism, or any other mortal or serious sin of grave consequence, under a mistaken fear that 'if you judge such persons, God will also judge and condemn you.' It is absurd. Heresy. Idolatry. To Jesus' credit, he did say to "judge justly" (John 7:24), and "If your brother sins, rebuke him" (Luke 17:3). He also warned against any human understandings or 'tradition' or 'teachings' even of 'priests' that contradict or therefore nullify the real commanded law of God as mortal sin. "You disregard God's commandment but you cling to human tradition. ... How well you have set aside the commandment of God in order to uphold your tradition. ... You nullify the word of God in favor of your tradition (teaching, false law) that you have handed on. And you do many such things. Mark 7:8-13. "It is easier for heaven and earth to pass away than for the smallest part of a letter of the law to become invalid." Luke 16:17.

The 'tradition' of the saying that 'if you judge others, God will also judge you,' has become pervasive and has caused the increase of sin; not its correction and reduction. And it has spun into other similar false 'parables' as 'before you take the speck from your neighbor's eye, first remove the plank from your own eye' (see Matthew 7:3-5), and 'he in glass houses should not throw stones,' or 'he without sin throw the first stone' (see John 8:7). All of those are false teachings. Jesus said basically that "you shall know a parable by its fruit." See Luke 6:43-44. The fruit of all those 'parables' listed above is the poisonous spread of sin around the earth, with people made to fear judging others because they fear being judged by God themselves for doing so. It is the nullification of God. The real God warned: "If I say to the wicked, You shall surely die -- and you do not warn them or speak out to dissuade the wicked from their evil conduct in order to save their lives -- then they shall die for their sins, but I will hold you responsible for their blood. If, however, you warn the wicked and they still do not turn from their wicked and evil conduct, they shall die for their sins, but you shall save your life." Ezekiel 3:18-19. Stated differently, "If you fail to properly judge your neighbor and rebuke them so as to not share in their guilt, God will judge you both, and punish you both." Hence, we have proven Leviticus 19:15-18 right and trustworthy doctrinal law.

I close this chapter with this, there cannot exist a contract (covenant) that says "Judge your neighbor and rebuke him, but do not judge your neighbor or God will also judge you." It is an illusory contract; not a covenant; a hoax. It cannot exist.

Chapter 2: "God set us free from the law," is also an illusory contract and null. It is an oxymoron against the real commanded obedience to all God's laws. This is much the same subject matter as chapter 1. It is a saying or 'tradition' is some sects or denominations that nullifies God and His real commanded laws. No

person can set you free from having to obey each letter of all of God's laws. Not Jesus; not anyone. Moreover, Jesus nowhere said he was trying to 'set you free from having to obey each law of God.' As a contract studied lawyer, I find it unthinkable that people would fall for this saying. First, the very "offering" of the covenant (contract) by God to the people stated He was asking specifically for them to "agree" to "obey each and all of His commanded statutes and ordinance, each of His laws, with all your heart, mind, body, and strength." It is contract language about "offer and acceptance." And there cannot exist a covenant offer that says 'obey all my laws, but I set you free from having to obey all my laws.' It is null. An illusory agreement. Invalid. Thankfully, God did not author an invalid null covenant, but made it binding laws that all must accept (consent to) and thus agree to obey with all your heart daily, or you are no longer in the covenant, or you did not make the covenant in the first instance because you did not truthfully agree to obey all of God's laws. "This day the Lord, your God, is commanding you to obey these statutes and ordinances. Be careful, then, to observe them with your whole heart and with your whole being. Today you have accepted the Lord's agreement ... And today the Lord has accepted your agreement ..." Deuteronomy 26:16-19. It is a real covenant, with real terms and obligated obedience; not an illusory agreement.

Let us read further what God said. "Be careful not to forget the Lord, your God by failing to keep his commandments and ordinances and statutes which I enjoin on you today ... But if you do forget the Lord, your God, and go after other gods, serving and bowing to them, I bear witness to you this day that you will perish utterly. Like the nations which the Lord destroys before you, so shall you too perish for not listening to the voice of the Lord, your God." Deuteronomy 8:11-20. Whenever you want a 'tradition' or 'saying' more than obeying God's laws, you are making an idol; a false god. God did not 'set you free from the law' -- because that would be no covenant "agreement." Thus you made a false god when you said that 'God set you free from the law.' Yet what did God actually say? Here is what he warned. "Thus says the Lord, the God of Israel: Cursed be anyone who does not obey these words of this covenant, which I commanded your ancestors the day I brought them up out of Egypt ... Listen to my voice and do all that I command you ... But they did not listen or obey ... Therefore, thus says the Lord: See, I am bringing upon them a disaster they cannot escape." Jeremiah 11:1-11.

Again, if God 'set you free from having to obey His commanded laws,' then the law is 'null.' The entire covenant a hoax; an illusory false agreement. A piece of toilet paper! That is what you each have done to God's covenant with that false saying. Instead, God warned us to "be safe" and "be careful" to obey each letter of all of His laws. Any other teaching is idolatry.

Chapter 3: "One-in-being with God," is the oxymoron of 'three persons who are one same being,' a 'trinity.' It is a math impossibility that 'three things are one

same thing.' Moreover, God was alone in the beginning, and we can prove that God was lone Person; not 'three persons.' But let me begin this proof with the commandment of God that there is "no other" and "none like Himself" in all creation; none. The term "other" is in the word "Father" (F-other) and "Mother" (M-other), which both say "God who is other." The term for sibling also has the same word in it, "Brother" (B-r-other). We can also read the word "other" to identify the round nature of a single Person in God's case, or a single person in a human being's case, as with "brother." When God uses the phrase "there is no other!," "none as me," He is being very specific; that there is no 'other persons who are Himself.' "Hear, O Israel! The Lord is our God, the Lord alone!" Deuteronomy 6:4-6. "You shall not have other gods beside me. You shall not make for yourself an idol." Exodus 20:3-4. "I am the Lord, and there is no other. ... Turn to me and be safe, all you ends of the earth, for I am God; there is no other!" Isaiah 45:18-22. "I am God, there is no other; I am God, there is none like me." Isaiah 46:8-11. God has no "other" than His lone being Person; He is "alone." As I said in Meditation On "Monotheism," a "test" invented by God about that fact would be to invent 'others' who 'are also God.' It would be to "test" people to see if they would fall for idolatry of making other gods than the one true God, by either (a) inventing 'a plurality of persons who are one same god,' or (b) invent 'multiple gods,' or (c) seek to deify a person, as often done in cults. Those are three obvious "tests" against the requirement of very careful "monotheism." When we look at history, God has indeed authored each of those "tests." As I said at the outset, when authoring "tests" about Himself or His laws, God will and has made 'miracles,' 'signs or wonders' as warned about in Deuteronomy 13:2-4. He warned that He would author such miracles even for "false gods" and "false prophets" -- thus for "false teachings" -- to "test whether you will obey the Lord, your God, with all your heart and being." Miracles therefore are not proof that a proposition is truthful. It can be, and often is, a "test."

Jesus claimed wrongly to be "with the Father before the world began." John 17:5,24. And "one with God." John 17:22. And to be "in the Father," John 14:20, and "the Father in him," and "when you see him, you see the Father." He also promised to send 'the hold spirit.' John 14:15-26. He claimed to be divine 'son' of God; a strange god with 'three persons,' the 'father, son, and holy spirit.' Whenever people in history have tried to explain the 'trinity,' they often merely say, "It is a mystery." It is no 'mystery,' it is a hoax, an elaborate "test" against monotheism. It is an oxymoron against the very Nature of God and His commandment to worship only one God who is His singular divine Person. "There is no other!" Yet those who have tried to explain the 'trinity,' as Aquinas, argue it is because 'God is infinite' and therefore 'God's self-awareness and self-love begets an infinite image of Himself, an infinite word about Himself, which they say is a son.' Then they argue that 'the infinite love between the father and son proceeds forth from them as a third person, the holy spirit.' It is all nonsense. And as we will discuss in later chapter, God is not 'infinite.' But even if God were 'infinite,' there cannot math be three persons who are one same being. Yet God is not 'infinite.'

And the entire false 'dogma' of the 'trinity' falls apart if God is not 'infinite' -- and He is not 'infinite.' One clear proof of this is the fact we all can agree upon that "God cannot will (cannot cause) God's own death; God cannot cause God to cease to exist." This proves that there are laws of physics that exist apart from God, which God did not author, and which God cannot alter, which hold God in existence. Those laws of physics, a world of physics in which God is bound, are independent of God. They made God; and God did not make them. They animate God; and God cannot animate those physics laws. This alone proves that God is not 'infinite.' There exists things, real physical laws, a real world, that God cannot alter, and in which God is bound to exist. God is helpless to those laws of nature of the world in which God resides. How big that world is one can only attempt to fathom. But it is plainly larger than God. God is round, having a definite shape, as seen in the words "o-ther," and "L-o-r-d." God has a definite math size. He cannot be 'infinite.' No living being could be 'infinite' and thus without some definite stable shape design and size. God has a size large, but not as large as the physics world in which God exists. God is much larger than the universe He created. But He is not as large as the world in which He was created; God suddenly awoke alert knowing He was bound in existence by laws of physics, a world, that He could not alter. God is helpless, in that sense. He must exist now, as long as those laws of physics hold him in existence. That is likely forever. One final point, for this chapter, even if God wanted a 'son' it would be no 'trinity.' And God would have to with His Mind author (create) that son. Two things about this. First, God can only create with His mental imagery of His mind very specific detailed designed creatures, that have a definite body and mind. Something that is not too large. Not so expansive that it would not be a real designable creature. A giant 'son' as 'big as Himself,' or 'an image of Himself,' would be impossible. It is not designable. Moreover, the Mind speed of God cannot create (author) another person of that size. Even God, because He has a stable Body Mind physics -- with a maximum Mind speed determined by the speed of the laws of physics that hold God in existence -- He cannot create such an enormous 'son.' It would never exist.

That is a subject matter for an entire book. I will return to that proof later in subsequent chapters. Here let it be said that the dogma of the 'trinity' is a hoax God authored to "test" people on whether they would violate the First and most important Commandment to "have no other god," to "make no idol." We must be careful to worship the true and only God, the only author of creation, as He is accepting His true divine Nature as singular Person. All else is idolatry against the First Commandment.

Chapter 4: "Spiritual life," and "spiritualized heaven," are not possible. They are hoaxes against the very Nature of God, and the intelligent designed nature of the human person. They can be proven with logic to be hoaxes and myths. The phrases 'spiritual life,' and 'spiritual heaven' are both oxymorons, as they are phrases that negate themselves. First, God is not 'spirit' as we think about 'spirit.'

As we discussed in the prior chapter, God is made by laws of physics that are stable, real, and which hold Him in existence. He is held in existence as a round Body Mind being, His shape determined by those laws of physics. 'Spirit' would have no definite 'body.' God does have a define shape and size "Body Mind." His Body is His Mind. He thinks throughout all parts of His Body. As we said, 'spirit' would have no such body, and is not designable for God, nor designable to be creatures. It is not. Thus God's Life is a physics law life. A real physical life; not some amorphous 'spirit' 'life.' God also cannot move His Body. He can think. And make mental imagery in His Mind. That is how God creates, He designs the intelligent designs for each creature and then daily animates (authors) those designs, thus giving them life. Or the semblance of "life," because we cannot really exist on our own, we are dependent upon God doing His work each day animating our specific designed bodies and minds to act and think rationally. If God stopped animating us into existence, we would vanish suddenly. But 'spirit' cannot 'live,' it does not have enough math variables of body mechanics and mind mechanics to be designable -- He cannot invent 'spirit life.' That is why the phrase 'spiritual life' is an oxymoron. It cannot be "life." The same is true about the phrase 'spiritual heaven.' That is why God has promised us in Scripture to be on design for a real physical earth, the "new earth," where we will be re-authored (re-created, resurrected) bodily. "See, I am creating new heavens and a new earth." Isaiah 65:17-18; "Just as the new heavens and the new earth which I am making." Isaiah 66:15-22. And even Christians believe this. "Then I saw a new heaven and a new earth. The former heaven and the former earth had passed away." Revelations 21:1.

A "new earth" cannot co-exist with a 'spiritual heaven.' Only one of them can exist -- and that is the real physics law earth called "new earth." While 'spirit' does not exist, your real physics math machine body does exist. Your body is your definite designed nature, your only (sole, soul) proprietary copyright existence that will be re-drawn (resurrected) on new earth one day. Jesus wrongly taught in 'parable' that 'when you die you will become like the angels,' which cannot exist. I will revisit that point in a later chapter discussing 'angels,' 'apparitions,' 'ghosts,' and 'spirits.' Let it be said for this chapter, that you should not put your faith in an oxymoron. You should want what can really be designed by God, and that is a real physical earth; not some pie in the sky. Not some 'spiritualized heaven.'

Chapter 5: "Saved by the incarnate blood of Jesus," is an oxymoron against the very divine Nature of God's Singular Person and His specific intelligent designed nature of His contract (covenant) of laws and design therefore of human nature. We have said that "plus one, minus one, is equal to zero; a nullity." God commands obedience to His laws to have life. "Keep, then my statutes and decrees, for the person who carries them out will find life. I am the Lord." Deuteronomy 18:5. God would nullify Himself and His contract (covenant) if he had said, 'you will have life by obeying my commanded statutes and decrees (His

laws), but if you do not want to obey, I will offer Myself in sacrifice for you, so that you have life anyway.' That would be invalid; not a lawful contract. It is illusory. Whenever a purported contract (covenant) requires a specific obedience to the laws that comprise the terms and conditions of that contract (covenant), and then makes an end-around 'substitute performance' saying 'you do not actually have to obey each and all of those laws of the contract (covenant), because something or someone else can substitute for your own required performance of it,' then it is illusory, and null. Invalid. Jesus cannot 'substitute' for your own required performance of obeying each and all of the legal terms of the contract (covenant) -- all of its laws. Jesus cannot 'offer himself in substitute' of your own required obedience to those laws. Nor can it be a valid contract (covenant) if God were to allow a 'substitute' consequence -- a 'substitute payment' or 'substitute penalty' to that which is prescribe by the terms (laws) of the contract (covenant). It would be null, as we said. We see examples of this in Scripture. First, Moses tried to offer himself as 'substitute punishment' in effort to obtain the forgiveness of the people's sins. God rejected that theory by Moses. "Now if you (God) would only forgive their sins! But if you will not, then blot me out of the book that you have written.' The Lord answered Moses: Only the one who has sinned against me will I blot out of my book." Exodus 32:32-33. And in Exodus through Deuteronomy, God gave numerous examples of required law punishments for specific intent sins. As for murderers, God said: "You will not accept compensation ('substitute accountability payments') in place of the life of a murderer who deserves to die, but that person must be put to death." Numbers 35:31-34.

In example, if a man steals a gold coin from another, and a third person offers to 'repay' a gold coin to the person from whom it was stolen, is that 'reparation' for the first man's theft and sin? No. Such a false-dogma of 'reparations by a third person' makes the entire contract (covenant) null. This is because it must be performed by each person individually, including accepting and taking the contract's (covenant's) required prescribed "penalties" for breach of that contract (covenant) by that man. No one else can pay it back to God. The person who had the gold coin stolen from them may appreciate that someone gave him a new gold coin, but not God, for the sin was also against God. All sins are. God requires the person who stole the coin to make reparations for his sin, and return the gold coin; to say he is sorry for his sin for real, to God and to the other person. But what if the third person in this example instead said, "I offer my life in 'atonement' ('reparation') for the stealing of the coin by the man; thus as 'reparation' for his sin." That would be worse, and equally null, for the man is offering 'murder of his life' and thus sin as 'atonement' for sin. Sin cannot be atonement for sin. Murder cannot be atonement for even murder. And nor would it restore the original gold coin to the person. God would not accept the offer, or He would make His entire contract (covenant) void and null.

There is another reason God gives in Scripture on why the person who sins must

be held accountable, and no 'substitutes' will be allowed. That is the concept of "purging the evil from the midst of His people." "Thus shall you purge the evil from Israel," by removing that person, cutting them off from the people, or in some cases, by death penalty, as for "murderers." Deuteronomy 22:22; see also Leviticus 18 and 20, and Deuteronomy 18. Allowing persons to be 'sacrificial lambs' in 'atonement for the sins of others' is the opposite of the duty to keep the community clean and clear of sin; to "purge the community of the evil." The fruit of the 'dogma' of 'scapegoats' and 'sacrificial lambs for sins' has been the casualness with which people now sin, not thinking there will be any consequence, and then saying, 'Jesus or others will atone for our sins, we do not have to atone ourselves.' It is mortal sin. Presumption also.

Now I am going to speak a tough topic for some. And that is the fact that the contract of God, His covenant, prescribed a very specific punishment for "blasphemy" of "deification," or "claiming to be god." That punishment was "the death penalty." The annotation to Matthew 26:65 in the New American Bible says that "the punishment for blasphemy was death by stoning (see Lv 24:10-16)." That law in Leviticus says, "Anyone who blasphemes God shall bear the penalty (of death)." Leviticus 24:15. That was about cursing God. But worse is the blasphemy of 'claiming to be god,' which is a more serious violation of the First Commandment that "I am the Lord, your God ... You shall have no other gods beside me." Exodus 20:2-3. There also parallel penalties prescribed, "But if a prophet presumes to speak words in my name that I have not commanded, or speaks in the name of other gods, that prophet shall die." Deuteronomy 18:20. And also "death penalties" for 'mediums and clairvoyants.' Leviticus 20:27. Those who executed Jesus did so "because He blasphemed, claiming to be God." Let us look at what they and Jesus said. "The Father and I are one." John 10:30. "For if you do not believe that I AM, you will die in your sins." John 8:24,28. "'Are you the king of the Jews?' Jesus said, 'You say so.'" Matthew 27:11. "And they placed over his head the written charge against him: This is Jesus, the King of the Jews." Matthew 27:37. "He saved others, let him save himself if he is the chosen one, the Messiah of God." Luke 23:35. "This man said, 'I can destroy the temple of God and within three days rebuild it.' ... The the high priest said to Jesus, 'I order you to tell us under oath before the living God whether you are the Messiah, the Son of God.' Jesus said to him in reply, 'You have said so. But I tell you: From now on you will see the Son of Man seated at the right hand of the Power and coming on the clouds of heaven.' Then the high priest tore his robe and said, 'He has blasphemed!' ... 'You have heard the blasphemy' ... Then they said in reply, 'He deserves to die!'" Matthew 26:60-67. Again, the law of the contract (covenant) required such penalty for the blasphemy of 'claiming to be god.' Because it is required by God's contract (covenant), Jesus could not be a 'scapegoat' nor 'sacrificial lamb' anyway, even if such existed, but it does not exist. If you accept as true what I have tried to prove, namely that Jesus was not God and cannot math physics be God, then the priests were only doing what they knew was required by law of God. See Leviticus 24:15; Exodus 20:2-3. Yet

there have been many miracle 'signs and wonders' attributed to Jesus and to his resurrection (re-authoring, re-creation) bodily after death. Those I see as what was warned by God in Deuteronomy 13:2-4, that God would make many miracle 'signs and wonders for false gods' only "to test your obedience to Him and all of His laws with all your heart and being." This because God cannot author that God has any different nature than His divine Self as Singular Person; God cannot 'assume human nature.' He cannot draw Himself a human body; and that also would be a violation of the definition of "human." We have proved there is no 'trinity.' Jesus therefore taught lies; what cannot exist. He is the definition of what God was warning about in Deuteronomy 13:2-4. Moreover, a 'king of a spiritualized heaven' -- a king of what cannot exist -- is no king at all. Rather, the only king of our afterlife would be one made for real math physical laws "New Earth." Isaiah 65:17-18. But Jesus taught a 'spirit heaven' where 'you will become like the angels,' and he did not speak of the "New Earth."

God warned, "I will destroy the names of the idols from the land, so that they will be mentioned no more." Zechariah 13:2. "They are without knowledge who bear wooden idols, and pray to gods that cannot save." Isaiah 45:20. "There is no God but me." Isaiah 44:6. The blood and wooden cross of Jesus are idolatry. The cross has become a wooden idol, associated with the illusory promise of a 'scapegoat' or 'sacrificial lamb of God.' God's contract (covenant) of laws is all that can exist; and there is no 'end-around' to your required performance of obedience to each letter of all of His laws. God promised those who do "will have life." And there are no 'substitute' payments, no 'scapegoats,' no 'sacrificial lambs.' I will return to that in a later chapter. Here let me close with this: "You will not pollute the land where you live. For bloodshed (murder) pollutes the land, and the land can have no expiation (no atonement, no reparation) for the blood shed on it except through the blood (death penalty) of the one who shed it." Numbers 35:33; see entire 35:31-34. Now you may not believe in the "death penalty," but you must agree that such persons are, at a minimum, excommunicated ("cut off from the midst of God's people"), and should receive no less than "life in prison," in that case of murder. The point of the Scripture quoted, however, is that "there can be no 'substitute' reparation for the sin of murder;" no 'scapegoat.' The 'blood of the lamb' does not save you from God's laws. "But that prophet or that dreamer (who foretold signs or wonders for a false god) shall be put to death, because in order to lead you astray from the way which the Lord, your God, has commanded you take, the prophet or dreamer has spoken apostasy against the Lord, your God ... Thus shall you purge the evil from your midst." Deuteronomy 13:1-6.

Chapter 6: "Infant baptism," is an oxymoron and plainly illusory; null. I start this chapter with what many people have recounted who have had miscarriages of their unborn conceived children. Many of them were led to believe that 'if they did not baptize those babies who they miscarried, then those babies would not have salvation with God, because they were stained with the original sin of

Adam and Eve.' It is null. An obvious false 'tradition.' This is perhaps among the easiest topics to prove, because when I discuss it with other, as I have at times, they nearly all agree there is at least a problem with 'infant baptism.' While it is a widespread practice among many denominations, it is also not believed in by many. Baptism is a ritual, a symbol, not a necessity. It is to symbolize that the person is giving themselves to the contract (covenant) of God to faithfully perform obedience daily to its each and every law. But today many use it as some sort of black magic that does not require "agreement" to each and all of God's laws. They see it as a 'substitute' for the required obedience; an 'end-around,' and 'way out' of having to obey God at all hours of each day. Baptism, if it existed, would be the making of the contract (covenant) agreement with God. "Today you have accepted (consented to) the Lord's agreement ... And today the Lord has accepted (consented to) your agreement ..." Deuteronomy 26:16-19. It requires conscious, lucid, mental maturity, what we call contract (covenant) capacity to fully understand and make informed consent to uphold and obey each letter of all of God's commanded laws, every day, for the rest of your life. A covenant.

Now, obviously, everyone knows babies cannot make cognitive mature informed consent decisions, let alone fully appreciate and understand and then consent to perform the daily duties of obeying all of God's laws. They cannot contract a covenant with anyone, not even with God. A child cannot comprehend the "If ... then" promises of God in that covenant; neither its blessings, nor its curses. "Do not make idols for yourselves ... If you live according to my statutes and are careful to obey my commandments, I will (bless you and the land) ... I will be your God, and you my people." Leviticus 26:1-13. "But if you do not obey my laws, I will cut you off from my people." See Leviticus 20:6-8,17; Deuteronomy 18:12-13; and also Dt 6:12-18; Dt 11:26-28; Dt 29:19-20; Dt 30:15-20; Dt 31:20-22; Lev 18:22-30. There are many more specific examples in Scripture, those are just a few. God specifically warned in His covenant of "blessings" and "curses" that would depend on whether you obey the covenant laws or fail to obey them. It is a detailed contract (covenant). You must be fully informed to make the covenant. A baby cannot reason to make such an agreement with God. All infant baptisms are null; they cannot be valid. Rather, children are "beneficiaries to the contract (covenant)," not parties to it.

Secondly, infants do not sin. Nor are they conceived with the 'stain of original sin.' It is an oxymoronic 'dogma,' a 'tradition' that cannot be true doctrinal law. God cannot create a baby having 'sin,' we all understand that fact. Some argue then that 'the stain of the original sin of Adam and Eve is a lessening, a lowering, of the status of human beings in relationship with God.' It cannot be. They say that it is 'a loss of grace,' and 'grace is a supernatural event,' not your own personal intelligent designed nature. 'Original sin' as a 'loss of grace' makes no sense because God commands equal obedience to His covenant of laws from Adam and Eve, from Moses and Joshua, from Isaiah and Jeremiah, and from all

people throughout history. There cannot be a 'stain' that 'lessens the grace ability to perform that contract (covenant) of each of His same laws that never change. It would make the contract null. Some people would be designed able to perform it better than others -- rather, not designed better, but authored with 'grace' better able to perform His covenant of laws. That is nonsense. All must be created equal by God with equal human nature designed ability to perform equally each and all of the terms of the contract (covenant) of all His laws. No exceptions. Or God voids His contract. Adam and Eve and all people since then are all designed by God with the same intelligent designed human nature. No one superior. No one inferior in design. All are able to obey the covenant; equally. That is your design.

I should say a few words about 'adult baptism,' which also cannot be some 'indwelling by a holy spirit.' Christians believe that Jesus promised to 'send the holy spirit third person of the trinity,' to 'indwell' the person at 'baptism' (or at 'confirmation'). Jesus promised to 'send the advocate' who he called 'the spirit of truth' to be 'in you, as he is in the father, and the father is in him.' See John 14:15-27; John 15:26; John 17:21-23. The purpose was stated that this 'spirit of truth' will teach you all things and remind you of all Jesus taught' daily as your 'advocate from and before the father.' But as warned in Deuteronomy 13:2-4, the 'spirit of truth' is not truthful; it does not advocate nor teach what can exist -- but instead teaches and advocates for what cannot exist. It teaches heresies against the very divine Nature of God's Singular Person Existence. It teaches falsehoods against the very specific intelligent design of the human person and our design made for a bodily afterlife on "new earth." It cannot be a 'spirit of truth,' therefore, but is 'the father of lies, of hoaxes, and false dogmas.' It is a false god. Idolatry. As warned, there are many miracle 'signs and wonders' associated with the 'indwelling of the spirit of truth,' including 'tongues,' etc., but those are "God testing you and the earth." God does not have, nor needs any, 'advocate' 'sent and acting in His name' as His 'legal agent' to 'teach and remind you of God's laws, as if God was present Himself doing those actions for Himself.' That is agency law. That is the meaning of "sent or acting in a person's name." And the 'holy spirit of truth' would not have "remained in the contract agency agreement, the scope of the duty to teach only truth." It is a hoax. God has always taught the people Himself. God has always as Lone Person led and governed His people by contract (covenant) of laws. "I will assist you in speaking and teach you what you are to say." Exodus 4:11-12.

Chapter 7: "Indwelling by God," is an absurd proposition contrary to the stable density -- stable presence -- of God. God occupies the full space in which God exists with equal density throughout His Body Mind existence. He cannot physically move His Body, but can only think and mental imagery design and animate creatures with His Mind. He cannot migrate 'His forces' to be more dense or present in any region of Himself more or less. He is equilibrium. He is equally present in all objects, creatures, and humans. God cannot 'go into a

person less or more' than He already is present animating their existence. Therefore, God cannot decide to 'indwell' a rock, an animal, nor any humans. He is already there. His Mind is constantly at work authoring (drawing and writing) all details of the universe, equally present throughout all the universe. Instead, God can enter into a relationship with His creatures. He can let Himself be known, and He can teach and govern His people. He can be "with you," not "indwell you." "With you" and not 'in you more than other persons, rocks, grass, dirt, animals, or other people.'

Repeatedly in Scripture God said this, as He said to Moses: "I am with you." "Moses said to God, 'Who am I that I should go to Pharaoh and bring the Israelites out of Egypt?' God answered: I will be with you." Exodus 3:4-14. Contrary to that, Jesus changed this to 'I will be in you,' or 'as the father is in me, so I will be in you.' John 14 and 17. An offered contract (covenant) that purports that 'I will be in you more than in those who do not make this contract (covenant)' is null, as it cannot exist according to God's real Nature. God is ever present throughout His entire Self, and He said as much in Scripture. "If you live according with my statutes and are careful to obey my commandments ... Ever present in your midst, I will be your God, and you will be my people." Leviticus 26:1-13. "You shall not go after other gods ... for the Lord, your God who is in your midst, is a passionate God -- lest the anger of the Lord, your God, flare up ..." Deuteronomy 6:14-15. But God also made the symbolism of His "presence in the midst of the people" by asking for a symbolic place to worship Him, where the people could have as their devotion place. He invented the "tabernacle." "I will set my tabernacle in your midst." See again Leviticus 26:1-13, in particular 26:11-12. God cannot be truly more dense in His "presence" in a "tabernacle" than in any other region of His Body Mind existence. He cannot move into shapes. He cannot migrate Himself to be in a tabernacle. He wanted it as a symbolic place. A place of worship.

The only way God can be "closer" to one person more than another, is not by migrating His physical force of His existence, because He cannot do that. It is by having a "close" personal relationship with a person in contract (covenant) of laws. God warned in Scripture, He is close to those who obey Him; and far from those who disobey Him. He is no closer in proximity to them physically, in His existence, but is closer in legal relationship with those in the contract (covenant). And he is not less proximate to those who disobey His laws, rather He is far from them. They do not want Him; and He rejects them for it. In a later chapter I discuss that "love is conditional." It is conditioned on the acceptance and performance of specific legal duties toward one another. That is what a relationship is. Love has conditions. Those conditions are the laws of God.

Finally, I must speak about the form of alleged 'indwelling' called 'holy communion,' where Christians believe that 'God can and did assume a different nature and became a human being to have a more proximate relationship and bodily (blood)

indwelling in persons who consume his blood in holy communion.' It is null. An obvious "test" contrary to the real Nature of God's existence as lone God who is Singular Person. Remember, God's Nature is not changeable. He cannot change the substance of what He Is. Yet He warned that He would write "tests" with many miracle 'signs and wonders' -- as we have discussed -- and the 'eucharist' has many such 'signs and wonders' associated with its observance. It has paranormal abounding. Many 'eucharist miracles.' The problem is that the 'eucharist' is contrary to God's Person, and cannot be God 'incarnate.' Better to be "safe" and "careful" in obedience to God and His required law of monotheism. Another way to state this is that God warned: miracles are not proof of the truthfulness of some belief. Only rational thought obedience to God's laws are your safety.

Chapter 8: "Union with God," is also a hoax and cannot exist in one person more than others, except in "contract (covenant) relationship with God." As we said in prior chapters, God cannot merge nor co-mingle nor indwell with any person God creates. God animates (authors) us by Mental Imagery in God's Mind, designing our specific nature and animating that nature to give us life. God cannot invent a way to share His Nature Body existence with any of His animated "creatures." None. God cannot be seen. God cannot be felt. God cannot be smelled. God cannot invent a way to unite with another person or persons. God can write "tests" of 'fragrant scents of His presence,' and 'spiritual feel as if He is present more at those times,' and 'visions as if seeing God,' on and on, many "tests" as 'sensory paranormal.' Worse is the belief by some sects that persons have become 'married to God in a union of body, mind, and spirit.' It is not possible. There are no such 'unitives with God.' Because God must author and animate your very existence, all details of your intelligent designed body and mind, you would cease to exist if God pretended He was 'uniting with you His Body and Mind to your body and mind.' It would be a hoax. A "test." But throughout history there are many people who are said to have become 'one with God body and mind,' 'united to God,' 'in perfect union with God sharing His existence.' They are wrong. The only union God can make with any person is a contract (covenant) agreement of love, which means a contract (covenant) of all His laws. Obedience to God is the only sign of union with God's will. It is not a physical union. Nor a merger of the mind. Rather is is a covenantal "meeting of the minds in agreement to His every law." A contract term requirement for the making of a valid covenant. God wants a relationship with each of you, one that is defined by His laws. He does not, and cannot, want to 'unite His divine Person with you.' You would cease to exist. You wasted your life and time if you are 'seeking to become united to God,' if you are 'seeking paranormal' as that instead of seeking to obey God's every law and thereby to love God as He truly Is.

Chapter 9: "Sacraments" are oxymoron against the Nature of how God creates, and thus against the very specific intelligent design of the human creature to always be able to obey each letter of the law God authored for human nature. It

is a null and therefore invalid contract (covenant) to say, 'I command you to obey all these laws of the contract (covenant) in exchange for My promise to love and do good to you that you have life, but I will supply you super-nature (supernatural) grace to obey all the laws of the contract (covenant) because you cannot by your designed human nature obey all these laws.' It is null. A hoax. It would also mean that God did not intelligently design our human nature to be able to obey His laws for human nature. It is nonsensical; and would make God a terrible Creator!

The entire time from Adam to Noah to Moses and Isaiah, we never see the word 'sacrament.' Yet those people all loved and spoke with God, and carried out obedience to His contract (covenant) laws. They were all -- as are all people -- created equally intelligently designed by God with sufficient body and mind human nature able to perform the duties of obedience to all God's laws for human nature. They did not need 'super-nature' (supernatural) to obey the laws for human beings; that required obedience is their God designed nature. It is not 'super-natural.' Rather, God designed it to be "natural" condition and ability of each person. To say, as some argue, that 'no person can obey all God's laws daily without supernatural grace' is an oxymoron as it nullifies God and His creative design. As we said, if God says, 'I command you to obey these laws, but you will not be able to obey unless I supernaturally do it for you,' that would make the contract (covenant) void and null. And, as we said, it would make God a terrible designer of human nature and law. God cannot create the nature of a creature then expect it to obey what its nature cannot attain as obedience. God must author laws that He knows He designed the nature of the human person to be able to obey at all hours -- without need of God doing it for us as in the case of supernatural 'grace.'

But let us look at what some argue is a Mosaic 'sacrament of grace' administered by God with the 'laying of hands' by Moses on Joshua to "commission Joshua" as the new leader over the Israelites. "The Lord said to Moses, The time is now approaching for you to die. Summon Joshua, and present yourselves at the tent of meeting that I may commission him. So Moses and Joshua went and presented themselves at the tent of meeting. And the Lord appeared at the tent in a column of cloud; the column of cloud stood at the entrance of the tent. ... Then he commissioned Joshua ... and said to him, Be strong and steadfast ... I myself will be with you." Deuteronomy 31:14-23. "Now Joshua, son of Nun, was filled with the spirit of wisdom, since Moses had laid his hands upon him; and so the Israelites gave him their obedience, just as the Lord had commanded Moses." Deuteronomy 34:9. See also the same "commission" of Joshua in Numbers 27:15-23. First, God said, "I will be with you," and not 'I will be in you.' Secondly, it says "Joshua was filled with the spirit of wisdom" when God commissioned him as "leader" of the tribe. "Filled with" is not accurate, for God cannot swirl into shapes nor migrate His existence in any more or less density in any person or thing. Third, the phrase 'spirit of wisdom' is an oxymoron, as it cannot be God,

because God, we said, is not 'spirit' as we think about 'spirit,' but He has a real physical stable density and shape Body Mind; and wisdom is instead an attribute of God, something God does and learned, not something that is His divine Self. The people who say that 'God is wisdom' do so because of an errant false syllogism about God being 'infinite.' They argue that because 'God is infinite, all His attributes, all He thinks and does, are His Divine Self Person.' It cannot be because God is not 'infinite.' And it would be like saying, 'because God has the nature to design "tests" for human beings, all those lying deceitful "tests" are God's Person, instead of an attribute He does.' That is obviously not the case. But as to Joshua and his "commission by God to be leader to teach and guide the people," God can and does make covenant (contract) with persons to "assist them and teach them what to speak or to say" to the people, as He did with Moses. Exodus 4:11-12. God can make a contract (covenant) to "speak with Moses daily," or "with" Joshua. He does not 'infuse wisdom into a person,' He teaches a person wisdom of His laws. So Joshua's commission to be leader is not a 'sacrament,' it is a contract (covenant) relationship.

The people and denominations that speak in terms of 'sacraments' believe they are becoming more physically proximate to God, God 'in them more densely than in other people,' an 'indwelling of His presence more than in those who do not receive the sacraments.' This we said would nullify the contract (covenant) of God's well designed human nature laws. They confuse at time also 'presence' with 'grace,' the later of which is a 'super-nature' or supernatural intervention by God 'overriding' your own nature for Him to do some act as only He can do instead of your actual human nature doing that action. In metaphysics, you cease to exist during such 'super-nature' interventions by God acting instead of you acting. Only when God faithfully authors (draws, writes) what is consistent at all hours with your intelligent designed human nature abilities -- your "person" -- do you really "exist." When God acts instead of you acting, it is not your "person" doing it; it cannot be your "self." It is God doing it. He is not you. You are not Him. But the actions are not yours in supernatural events. Hence, you cease to exist at those moments. He 'overrides' your nature system. That is against the contract (covenant) of "personal accountability" required by your own actions according to your designed nature; not God's actions contrary to that designed nature. It is a metaphysics problem many of you might not understand fully. But it is one reason why there cannot be 'sacraments.' The contract (covenant) forbids it.

Yet let us look at what the alleged 'sacraments' are, namely (1) 'baptism,' (2) 'communion,' (3) 'confirmation,' (4) 'marriage,' (5) 'ordination,' and (6) 'last rites,' among another. We can prove that none of them are 'sacraments.' Baptism we discussed already; it cannot be 'indwelling by God,' nor 'washing free of original sin, nor any other stain of sin.' But there can exist, as we said, a ritual associated with the "offer and acceptance" of God and the person in "contract (covenant) meeting of the minds in agreement to perform all the duties and thus obey all the

laws of the contract (covenant)." The required "making of the covenant." Confirmation would be renewal of the vows of the contract (covenant) to obey all His laws, but not any 'increased density of God's presence in' the person. Marriage is also another contractual (covenantal) agreement to all God's laws of His specific intelligent design of the very nature of marriage -- to procreate and raise children to obey all God's laws. And remember, 'grace' to 'faithfully carry out those contractual marital duties each day' is an oxymoron, because your intelligent designed nature is to "faithfully carry out those contractual marital duties." It is not 'super-natural.' It is your God designed "nature," and thus "natural." Ordination is also a contract (covenant) agreement to obey and to teach and to enforce each letter of all of God's laws, without exceptions. It is contract; not 'sacrament.' As to 'last rites' and 'anointing of the sick,' those are not contracts. They are rituals, prayers at the time of a person dying or when they are sick. But they are not 'sacramental' unions with God. The answer to the issue of 'sacraments' is understanding God and human nature as He designed it, and understanding what can be a valid contractual performance by God.

Chapter 10: "Communion of saints," is an oxymoron and cannot be part of a valid contract (covenant) of God. It is an oxymoron, a null contract (covenant), if God said, 'obey all my laws for human nature as I intelligently designed you to obey, and I will then end that nature and instead merge and co-mingle your person with many other people as an afterlife of union with those persons body and mind.' It cannot exist; and it would make God's contract (covenant) null, as we have said. First, God cannot actually 'merge' nor 'co-mingle' persons together 'body and mind.' Yet God, as warned in Deuteronomy 13:2-4, has authored "tests" about that fact. Second, human nature is very specific as designed by God. And He would nullify His intelligent design if He tried to 'merge' or 'co-mingle' two things together as one thing. It is not a contract (covenant) to promise to alchemy you into something different than your designed human nature as singular "person." It is against the requirements for God to "create" an independent "other" machine body "person." All 'mergers of minds,' or 'mergers of spirit' are hoaxes. A 'merger' of 'persons' is not an "other," and thus not a real "person." There also cannot be, as Jesus taught, a 'legion' of 'spirits' acting as one thing, possessing a person together. "What is your name? He replied 'Legion,' because many demons had entered him." Luke 8:30-31. See also Matthew 12:45 about 'multiple spirits possessing a man,' and Mark 1:24 where a 'spirit' said: "what have you to do with us, Jesus of Nazareth?" There can be no such 'legion,' not of minds, nor of spirits, nothing. No two things can occupy the same space, either. Nor could 'angels,' if they existed, but they do not, 'merger with each other in mind or body.' But some people believe as Jesus taught that "when they rise from the dead ... they are like the angels in heaven." Mark 12:25. They wrongly believe this, and they assume that angels, and therefore saints, can co-mingle with each other mentally or bodily. They cannot and do not. God cannot author it. Nor would it be a valid contract (covenant), as we said. Instead,

the real math physics law "new earth" can be designed, is a valid contract (covenant), as it will be your actual physical body and mind nature as singular persons, not as some merger of 'spirits.' Isaiah 65 and 66; see also for Christians Revelations 21:1 about the "new earth."

There are competing beliefs about the afterlife for those who obey God's laws. One version has them 'living with God in His abode heaven spiritually;' while the other, as in Daniel, says that people die ("sleep in the dust of the earth") and then shall be re-created (resurrected) when they "shall awake ... to everlasting life." Daniel 12:1. The later, which is performable by God and would be consistent with contract (covenant) and the nature of the physical bodily new earth, does not allow for any 'communion of the saints.' Rather, people die, then will suddenly one day be re-created (resurrected) bodily "awake" on new earth, without having experienced any time passage between when they die and when they are re-created. "At that time your people shall escape, everyone who is found in the book. Many of those who sleep in the dust of the earth shall awake; Some to everlasting life, others to reproach and everlasting disgrace." Daniel 12:1.

As I said earlier, a "saint" is a person who faithfully obeys their intelligent designed nature, and who teaches others to do so as well. There is no 'super-nature' about real "saints." They embrace their lowly intelligent design of their body and mind, and want to therefore respect God and His true Nature, and their own human nature. A "saint" is a person in true contract (covenant) real relationship with God by agreeing to obey His every law. They have their "natural" abilities. Their "person" existence depends on that fact. Dead saints are not able to hear your prayers. They cannot see what you are doing. Instead, a true "saint" -- and thus their real "person" -- would at all hours, now and after dead, if alert, be authored by God in ways only consistent with their intelligent body-mind design, and therefore have only human faculties and abilities. Anything else is a form of idolatry, a form of deification of the dead person, which is forbidden by God. But if alert, a deceased "saint" could be told (taught, informed) by God that someone asked for their prayers. And could be told by God about what their still living relatives and friends were doing. But they could not know it themselves. Nor could they 'merge' with God's Mind; that would cause them to cease to exist; it would negate the entire covenant. But there are warnings in Scripture about this, and warnings that God will author "tests" and false teachings about such alleged 'communions' by dead people, both saints and sinners.

Chapter 11: "Redeemer," we proved is a hoax, and would nullify the contract (covenant) of God. Yet I think it worth mentioning it with more detail in this chapter. As a basic definition, "contract" or "covenant" is "a formal agreement between two parties." March's Thesaurus And Dictionary. That is a very short definition, but it makes a point. There must be a promise by both parties to the

contract (covenant) of something each see as having legal binding value; and not illusory requirements (obligations, laws, terms and conditions). The parties must exchange this "valuable consideration" in legal binding agreement to perform "all of its obligations" and thus "all of its laws." If a party is not fully promising to perform obedience to the laws set forth in the contract (covenant), it is null and invalid. The promised "performance" must be by both parties -- and not by some third person. As we said earlier, the problem with 'substitute' performance or 'substitute' compensation by a third person (not one of the two original parties) is it provides an 'end-around' escape from having to personally perform your own legal obedience required by the contract (covenant); thus making it illusory and null. Any tactic or alleged promise that makes obedience to all the terms of the contract (covenant) 'optional,' then there really is no binding agreement. Thus 'scapegoats' and 'sacrificial lambs for the non-performance (sins) of another' make the contract (covenant) illusory and null. But it also leads to people not fully promising to obey all the laws of the contract (covenant) because they expect instead that 'Jesus or someone else has or will pay their obligations debts in their place,' instead of them personally performing those contracted obligations set forth in each law of God.

The fiction of 'holy redeemer' has that same problem which we discussed earlier, and have revisited in this chapter. It looks like something of 'great value,' namely a person offering to 'substitute' their own obedience 'in place of' your own required obedience -- to die for your obligations to not have to be really performed by you personally. But it has no actual contractual (covenantal) value to God, in His eyes! This is because it makes the laws of the contract (covenant) 'optional' and thus illusory and worthless. No valuable consideration, as we say in contract terms. No third person can 'substitute' their performance for your own required performance of the contract. Contract experts certainly understand that it is not a valid contract (covenant) if God offers to contract with you an agreement to daily bake bread and give bread to the poor, but says at the same time as that offered 'agreement' that 'if you do not want to obey, you can simply not bake bread and I will send someone else in your place to bake bread and give it to the poor each day instead.' It is invalid, as the person actually agrees to nothing! Some third person can perform it for them. It is nonsensical. Yet whenever someone ascended in the past to the papacy of the Catholic church, often the first thing they wrote about was their 'dogma' of the 'holy redeemer.' The entire Christian experiment fails and collapses if there is no such 'redeemer,' no such possibility of it as part of God's real contract (covenant) of laws. They are selling an oxymoron to people, many of whom do not want personal accountability to each of God's laws. Most of them do not want real contract (covenant) agreement having to personally obey for themselves all of God's laws. They instead want a sort of black magic of someone else 'substituting' for their required performance, and 'substituting' for the prescribed consequences of "breach of contract," which God in Scripture called "breaking my covenant." God wants people to be "completely sincere with Him;" and thus "completely

honest" with Him when making with Him the contract (covenant) of all His laws. No 'end-around.' No 'sacrificial lamb.' No 'holy redeemer.' Just you and your Creator in love agreement of covenant observance.

In this chapter, I must address two additional points. First, there are competing texts in Isaiah about this required covenant obedience and personal accountability. "See, it stands written before me; I will not remain quiet until I have paid in full (to Me) your crimes and the crimes of your ancestors as well. ... I will at once pour out in full measure their recompense into their laps. ... Because I called and you did not answer, I spoke and you did not listen. But did what is evil in my sight and things I do not delight in, you chose ..." Isaiah 65:7-12. God in Scripture insists that He will exact His payment for sins from each person and the entire land. That is no different in Isaiah, until we read the 'messianic' portions of his 'prophecy.' "Yet it was our pain that he bore, our sufferings he endured. ... But he was pierced for our sins, crushed for our iniquities, he bore the punishment that makes us whole, by his wounds we were healed. ... Bore the sins of many and interceded for the transgressors." Isaiah 53:4-12. The first part, about full responsibility to the laws of the covenant is proper and truthful. The second part about a 'messianic' figure who would 'substitute his own sufferings and obedience for the sins of others and of the land' is heresy, as it cannot be valid, it cannot be God's contract (covenant) of laws. It is to me as warned about in Ezekiel 14:9, that "I the Lord am the one who (tests and) deceives that prophet." No prophet do I believe has been at all hours 'perfect prophecy' without "tests" from God about their 'prophecies.' That is not to say all prophets have false prophecies. It is to say all of them are "tested" by God also, and sometimes false teachings get into their prophecy. If God was planning to author a "test" as elaborate as a 'holy redeemer' against His contract (covenant) abilities to do so, He would announce it through some prophet first. Isaiah seems to be that. Moreover, there is a second point, and that is the way in which God must design "other" -- "you." A human "person" who is not Himself. We said in that regard that whenever God instead authors what is an action contrary to our independent specific designed nature, it cannot be us doing the action -- rather it is God doing it. God could, as we said, author a 'super-nature' event, or a 'sub-nature' event. The first is an event only God can do. The second is a event that is below, less than, our actual intelligent designed nature abilities, and thus it is when God draws a 'person' to 'sin.' What you want is for God to always author you consistent with your intelligent designed nature, thus not sinning. The point I am making here is that metaphysically speaking "sin is not your real self." Because God animates your every thought and actions, all authored by God, there cannot be 'atonements,' and thus no 'holy redeemer.' I give you some easy to accept examples of Moses and of Judas. "The Lord said to Moses: On your return to Egypt, see that you perform before Pharaoh all the wonders I have put in your power. But I will harden his heart and he will not let the people go." Exodus 4:21; see also Exodus 10:27, and Exodus 11:9-10. Moses was instructed by God that Pharaoh would have no free-will, it would be God "hardening his

heart" to not obey God. It was God's plan. God doing it; not Pharaoh. Let us look to what Jesus taught about Judas having no free-will. "And yet behold, the hand of the one who is to betray me ... for the Son of Man indeed goes as it has been determined." Luke 22:21-22. "But so that the scripture might be fulfilled, 'The one who ate my food has raised his heel against me.'" John 13:18; John 17:12. There was a "script" God was authoring; a plan He was making come true. Judas is therefore as Pharaoh in that he had his actions determined by God according to some preordained script. That is the opposite of 'free-will.' It is not simulated free-will, either. I do not address in that the propriety of Judas' drawn action. I address that in a different earlier chapter. The point I am making here is that there could be no 'atonement,' and therefore no 'redeemer' for the drawn actions of 'Pharaoh' or 'Judas.' It was not their real persons doing it; as it was God's plan. The same is true for any time God authors a person to fail a "test" because God has planned it, as probably was the case for Isaiah's 'messianic' prophecies. And it is true for each of us whenever God draws our actions, instead of obeys our specific "natural" designed "person." There cannot be 'redeemer' anyway, as we already proved.

Chapter 12: "Divine bridegroom" ("married to God") is an oxymoron and null contract (covenant). We already proved in prior chapters that there cannot be 'union with God's true Presence.' Yet I thought that I should discuss the same issue again because it appears in various denominations and sects under the guise of becoming 'married to God,' or 'married to the divine bridegroom, Jesus.' It is true that even in old days God poetically, not literally, referred to His contract (covenant) relationship with His people as 'espousing' them. "But you shall be called 'My Delight is in her,' and your land 'Espoused.' For the Lord delights in you and your land shall be espoused. For as a young man marries a virgin, your Builder shall marry you ..." Isaiah 62:4-5. And again in earlier passage from Isaiah: "Thus says the Lord: Where is the bill of divorce with which I dismissed your mother (Israel)? ... It was for your sins you were sold, for your rebellions your mother (Israel) was dismissed." Isaiah 50:1. It was an old analogy, a poem. It was not some future promise in Isaiah 62:4-5, it was the same poem God stated in Isaiah 50:1 about breaking off that covenant (contract) because the people had rebelled against His laws. Yet make no mistake, God cannot design a 'marriage covenant' for Himself. He cannot 'marry' His people, nor anyone. It is against the requirements to "create" what are actually "others" -- His "creatures." If God tried to 'unite' with a person in 'marriage,' as we said, that person metaphysically would cease to exist. All that would be there is God; no other "persons." But let us look at what real "marriage" is. It is a contract (covenant) to give each other's body and daily obedience to obey their natural law designed nature to co-mingle their bodies in intercourse to procreate and raise children who obey God's laws. For God to 'marry' His people, He would have to have a divine Nature, His Person, able to create "creatures" who He could actually 'go into Bodily' to 'united with them for the rest of their lives' in a 'mutually consensual' relationship that obeys the Nature of God and the specific intelligent designed nature of the

human person. God cannot invent it; and it would be null and invalid.

Conversely, Jesus taught himself to be the 'divine bridegroom,' and that God was preparing us for his 'wedding feast' on earth and in 'heaven.' "The kingdom of heaven may be likened to a king who gave a wedding feast for his son." Matthew 22:2. Christians believe this 'marriage to Jesus' is 'consummated bodily by the eating (taking into you, penetration of) the eucharist body and blood of Jesus.' Yet it cannot be true doctrine; and not a contract (covenant) with the real God. You cannot 'merge' two natures into one. You cannot logically merge two persons into one body. Nor can God go into anyone; He is already there fully Present in all His equilibrium density. He cannot assume human nature. He cannot draw Himself incarnate. The entire thing is a "test" against monotheism and the true Nature of God and true nature of His designed human beings.

Chapter 13: "Beatific vision of God," is an oxymoron and hoax against the ability of God to "create" His creatures. Let us start this topic with what Jesus said, then disprove his ability to have 'seen the Father.' Jesus taught, "Not that anyone has seen the Father except the one who is from God; he has seen the Father." John 6:46. Therefore Jesus taught that of all the many centuries of saints living or dead there has never been any of them who saw God in a 'beatific vision' after death. Jesus claimed he was the 'only one to have seen the Father.' It is against the very Nature of God's Person and how He creates "others" than Himself. God authors our daily existence by writing and mental imagery in His Mind. All we "see" and "hear," "smell," or "feel" are writings or drawings by God with and in His Mind. "The Lord said to him: Who gives one person speech? Who makes another mute or deaf, seeing or blind? Is it not I, the Lord." Exodus 4:11-12. God authors (draws) our "sight" in His Mind. His gift to His creatures. A drawing in His Mind is not Himself. He cannot draw Himself. He cannot author Himself have a human body. It is a "test." For this topic, let it be said that any person who claims they have 'seen God,' they are deceived or a liar. No one has ever seen God, not even Jesus, for God cannot invent a way for Himself, the Author, to be seen. And it would therefore not be a valid contract (covenant) for God to say, 'when you die you will see Me,' because it cannot exist. It is hoax. Similarly, the 'tradition' that says 'a person must become purified after death before they can enter into the beatific vision of God,' is also a hoax, as we just proved. And it is against the contract (covenant) of God. Against His ability to create "others." Yet we can know with logical certainty that you are each being designed for re-creation (resurrection) bodily on "new earth." Isaiah 65:17-25; 66:22. A final point, not even 'angels,' if they existed (but they do not) would ever be able to 'see God.' They would have to be designed the same way we are, and God cannot invent a way that the Author (Drawer, Writer) of our daily existence could be 'seen;' it is not possible for God to do it.

Chapter 14: "Angels, ghosts, and spiritual souls," are all oxymoronic hoaxes against the very Nature of how God "creates." As we said in prior chapters, all

'spirits' and 'spiritual paranormal' are "tests." See Deuteronomy 13:2-4. God explicitly commanded: "Let there not be found among you anyone who ... consults ghosts and spirits, or seeks oracles from the dead. Anyone who does such things is an abomination to the Lord, and because of such abominations the Lord, your God, is dispossessing (ex communicating, cutting off from the people) them before you. You must be altogether sincere with the Lord, your God." Deuteronomy 18:10-13. An obvious "test" about obedience to this commanded law is for God to invent false 'angel apparitions,' 'devil apparitions,' 'dead persons appearing,' 'haunted houses,' 'seances,' etc. The list is lengthy of pagan "tests" God could, and has, come up with to see if you would obey His every letter of His laws "with all your heart and strength." Deuteronomy 13:2-4. However, let us look at how God creates "others" again, to disprove the existence of 'angels, and spirit souls.' To create another creature, other than Himself, God must design very specific body and mind mechanical variables that God must be able to animate daily as sufficiently designed "other." If there is not sufficient body and mind detailed machinery, there cannot be "other," as there would be only God there. Not "another" person. 'Angels' or 'spiritual souls' have no definite designed mechanical (machine hardware) body, nor therefore machine (software) mind. They cannot be designed by God. Rather, your definite designed machine body and mind is your only (sole, soul) proprietary copyright existence. You have no 'spirit soul.' You have only your body. It is the same body you will be re-created as on "new earth." We have already shown that Jesus wrongly taught that 'when you die you will become like the angels.' It is nonsense. But let us look at a specific "test" called Medjugorje, where it is said 'the virgin Mary is appearing to visionaries.' Often these 'apparitions of the dead' such as of 'Mary,' have different skin colors, different eye colors, different hand sizes, different voice, etc. And in Medjugorje one of the 'visionaries' saw 'Mary' appear to her and tell her a lie. Then it vanished, and another, a different 'Mary' appeared to her saying to her that the first 'apparition' was 'not her, but was the devil in disguise testing her.' The fact is they both are "tests." Neither of them could be the real "person" called "Mary." God cannot author it as contract (covenant). Seeking 'consult from the dead saints' as that is sin against God and His covenant. As I stated in the introduction, such seeking 'consult of the dead saint Samuel' by Saul got Saul in deep trouble, and punished by death. When he sought a 'medium' to conjure up an 'apparition of saint Samuel,' God made an apparent 'apparition of Samuel,' God is disguise, only to tell Saul he and his sons would be killed because he, Saul, had disobeyed God by seeking 'consult from the dead saint Samuel.' Within a short time later, Saul and his sons were killed. 1 Samuel 28:4-19; 31:1-7.

God exists alone. He has no counterparts. No enemies. No other living beings; only the creatures He animates (authors) with His Mind. As a "test" He invented an 'opposite of Himself,' a 'devil' that would "test" human beings to see if they would obey God, or not. Jesus said, "Father do not test them, but keep them from the evil one." See Matthew 6:9-13. "I do not ask that you take them out of

the world but that you keep them from the evil one." John 17:15. The entire story of an 'angel' who 'by his own free-will refused to obey God's laws,' and was 'sent down to Hell, and let out to tempt and test human beings against God,' is a fabrication. It cannot exist. There are no angelic beings. As we said, they cannot be sufficiently designed. Hence, why does God author an 'opposite of Himself' to "test" human beings about obedience to His laws? Because God is alone. How could He as author know if you really love Him with obedience, unless He invents a way to "test" your obedience when confronted with an 'opposite power.' An 'evil one,' as Jesus taught. Yes, and there are alleged 'angelic friends of God,' such as 'Gabriel' and 'Michael.' "At that time there shall arise Michael, the great prince, guardian of your people ..." Daniel 12:1. Those also are "tests," false gods, not able to be designed by God. There is no 'mediators' for God who are 'angels.' There are no 'guardian angels.' Only God. There are no 'powers and principalities of spirit,' they all are "tests." God writing a scripted "test" as if He had you caught in a war of 'spiritual realm' able to 'tempt' or 'assist' you. There is only God. The rest is all "tests." I have seen the heretical ritual of persons who said they were 'consecrating themselves to their guardian angel,' as some 'substitute worth' compared to the exclusive contract (covenant) God offers of laws. It is vanity; a hoax. They are being deceived. It makes the contract (covenant) with God void and null, such 'spiritual' or 'angel' 'consecration' practices as that mentioned. Be safe. Obey God; not your vain 'spiritual traditions.' Remember, there is only one God, lone Person, and He cannot invent 'spirits.'

Chapter 15: "Consubstantial, or Trans-substantial," are both drawn mathematical hoaxes; as they cannot exist. They are oxymorons. We have said that for God to "create" a thing or object, God must author it to have a particular specific molecular unique singular "nature" -- what it is. The term 'con-substantial' would be 'when two separate objects or things share a common identical math physical space.' It cannot be drawn by God. It does not exist. And the term 'trans-substantial' would be 'when one object or thing changes (alchemies) into a different object or thing.' It too cannot be drawn by God, and thus does not exist. To prove this point, let us look at the example in scripture of Moses and the rock that 'water flowed from.' "The Lord answered Moses: Go on ahead of the people, and ... holding in your right hand, as you go, the staff with which you struck the Nile. ... Strike the rock, and the water will flow from it for the people to drink. Moses did this ... The place was named Massah and Meribah, because the Israelites quarreled there and tested God, saying, 'Is the Lord in our midst or not?'" Exodus 17:5-7. "Take your staff ... command the rock to yield its waters. Thereby you will bring forth water from the rock ... Moses struck the rock twice with his staff, and water came out in abundance, and the community and their livestock drank." Numbers 20:8-11. God cannot create that a rock is also water. But God can, and did, author that water appeared to flow from the rock Moses struck with his staff. The rock and the water are not 'con-substantiated;' they are two separate things. The rock was not 'trans-substantiated' into water; rather

God authored water appearing to come from the rock. God authored the rock, and God authored the water. Now when a wall 'bleeds blood' in a 'haunted house' or the bedroom of a 'saint,' the wall did not 'trans-substantiate' into blood; nor is the wall 'con-substantiated' with the blood. The oozing of blood -- as in many documented paranormal 'miracles' -- is a "test" authored by God. It is not to be listened to, nor believed, as warned explicitly by God, unless it is as for Moses to enforce the true Nature and laws of God.

You must question every 'miracle,' because God makes many for even false gods and for false dogmas, as warned by God to Moses and the people in Deuteronomy 13:2-4. Thus, the same is true of countless documented cases of 'bleeding communion hosts' or 'wine in the chalice' in Christian 'tradition.' There are communion hosts that appear to change into human heart tissue and blood. That is a "test" which advances what Jesus taught: "Then he took the bread, said the blessing, broke it, and gave it to them, saying, 'This is my body, which will be given for you ...' And likewise he took the cup after they had eaten, saying, 'This cup is the new covenant in my blood, which will be shed for you.'" Luke 22:19-20; See also Matthew 26:27-28; Mark 14:24. Now Jesus taught this was "a new covenant" made by God, and it cannot be a new covenant, because as we proved God cannot draw God a 'human body;' God cannot 'assume human nature,' and any 'substitute performance payment' such as a 'redeemer lamb of God' would make a contract (covenant) invalid and null. An oxymoron. The entire Jesus 'dogma' of the 'eucharist' is God drawing a "test" against monotheism and against His contract (covenant). Yet the rock in my example would be scientifically examined and found to be a real "rock." And the water found to be real "water." In the same way, bleeding hosts have been examined scientifically and found to be real 'human blood,' of a certain blood type, from a human male, and probably would have all the identical DNA. Why God authors such an elaborate hoax as a "test" is beyond comprehension. But He did author it; and it cannot be God's DNA, nor God's body nor His blood. Yes, it can be scientifically overwhelming, the "tests" of God, but there is one sure way to pass the "test," and that is to "be safe" and "careful" to obey and worship at all hours the only exclusive true Nature of God as Singular Divine Person. All else is a hoax and a "test" by God.

Chapter 16: "God foresees the future," and "Clairvoyants" or "Prescience," are all illusions; hoaxes. They also make the contract (covenant) null. I start this chapter by revisiting that easy proof that all can understand, that God is not 'omnipotent' (not 'almighty') because God cannot will nor cause Himself to cease to exist (cannot cause Himself to die). Therefore we can conclude that God is bound in existence by forces of math physics laws that animate God's existence (that hold God in existence) -- laws of nature not created by God. Those laws of a physical nature in God's world made God; and God did not author those math physics laws. Such laws that exist independent of God in His world are "math" and "temperature," and of course "time," among other physics laws. God is

bound in "space and time." He cannot go back in time, nor forward in time. He lives in time, one minute after the next, just as He authors us to live that way in time. But that being God's nature, He did invent many "tests" about that fact, and has at times authored what appears to be God or another person 'knowing (foreseeing) the future.' He does this by knowing (deciding) what He wants to write in His Book (as Moses called it) in the future, then announces it as 'what will happen in the future,' and then God authors (writes, draws) that exact sequence of events in linear space time -- to give the appearance He knew and saw the future before it happened. It is always a hoax and a "test." Instead of 'foreseeing the future,' God actual 'foretells' what God will write in linear space time sequence. "See, the earlier things have come to pass, new ones I now declare; Before they spring forth I announce them to you." Isaiah 42:9. "At the beginning I declared the outcome; from of old, things not yet done. I say my plan shall stand, I accomplish my every desire. ... Yes, I have spoken, I will accomplish it; I have planned it, and I will do it." Isaiah 46:8-11. "Things of the past I declared long ago, they went forth from my mouth, I announced them; then suddenly I took action and they came to be." Isaiah 48:3. There is a sequence of events God reveals in those passages of scripture. God foretells an event that God wants to later author, and secondly, after announcing it, God later draws (authors) that event in His Book, and it comes to pass, it happens as foretold. It is not 'prescience.' It is a methodical "planned" sequence that God writes. Because of this fact, God forbids all 'mediums' or 'clairvoyants' who claim to 'foresee the future,' because not even God can do that. "A man or a woman who acts as a medium or clairvoyant shall be put to death." Leviticus 20:27. Moreover, as we said, because God has commanded a law not to try to be a 'clairvoyant' nor seek counsel of alleged 'clairvoyants' -- people who claim to 'see the future' -- God will and has "tested" many about their obedience to that law of His contract (covenant). Again, just because the foretold event 'sign or wonder' comes to pass, that does not make the prophecy good. It is evil, and a "test." See again Deuteronomy 13:2-4 on that exact point of 'foretelling the future' by false 'prophets' for a 'false god.' The only paranormal that is good in this regard is when God gives a warning of "if ... then," saying that "If you fail to repent as a nation, I will uproot and utterly destroy it." Then God does as foretold if the nation fails to obey His every law. This is not 'foreseeing' the future; it is God "foretelling" what God will draw (author, write) in His Book. The same is true of a "mystic" who cannot logically foresee the future, but can have God foretell to the mystic what God "plans to draw" in the future. When God told Moses in Exodus 3 that he would lead the Jews out of slavery in Egypt, was God foreseeing the future? No. God was foretelling to Moses what God would write (author) in what God and Moses called God's "Book" in Exodus 32:32-33. "Therefore I have come down to rescue them from the power of the Egyptians ... Now I am sending you to Pharaoh to bring my people, the Israelites, out of Egypt. But Moses said to God, 'Who am I that I should go to Pharaoh and bring the Israelites out of Egypt?' God answered: I will be with you ..." Exodus 3:8-12. God planned it, foretold it, but did not foresee it. God knew what God would write in the future

for Moses in God's Book.

But let us look at some Christianity "tests" that God has authored against monotheism. They believe in a God who is 'infinite,' who they therefore allege is the 'author of time.' They believe that God foresees all time in a single instant, past, present, and future. It is an oxymoron, and cannot exist, as we have proven. "He (the spirit of truth) will not speak on his own, but will speak what he hears, and will declare to you things that are coming." John 16:12-13. There are many 'miracles' documented in Christian 'tradition,' including many 'false-prophecies,' 'visionaries,' and 'apparitions of dead saints' who foretell events that then later come true, as they were told by God. At the risk of more repetition, that was explicitly warned about in Deuteronomy 13:2-4; I cannot say that enough. It is forbidden by God's laws. See Deuteronomy 18:10-13 and Leviticus 20:27. I use two examples, one older, and then one of this past century. God wanted to "test" the earth, and invented the 'incarnation of God' hoax. To foretell it before He then authored it come to happen, God used Isaiah to give false-prophecy of a 'messiah' who would 'bear the sin guilt of the many people.' "Yet it was our pain that he bore, our suffering he endured. ... But he was pierced for our sins ... He bore the punishment that makes us whole, by his wounds we were healed. ... Because he surrendered himself to death ... Bore the sins of many and interceded for the transgressors." Isaiah 53:4-12. That is not 'foreseeing' the future then by Isaiah. It was God setting up His planned "test" by "deceiving even the prophet," as warned about in Ezekiel 14:9. It is an elaborate scheme, that God wanted to foretell, then author it later to come to pass. Most prophecies are false prophecies, about false teachings -- false 'dogmas' -- God uses as such "tests" against His true divine Nature as Singular Person. In the past century, I give you the example of 'Marian apparitions foretelling future events.' In particular, Fatima, where an 'apparition of Mary' foretold to three children that world wars were coming if the nations did not return to 'her son.' Those world wars then later happened, within years and decades. Not even God could foresee those wars in the future. God could foretell them, and used the "test" also to reinforce obedience to His commanded law, while also "testing earth" about monotheism. The fact that those prophecies came true does not make Jesus real 'son of God.' Read the entirety of Deuteronomy 13:2-4 again. Only when a prophecy fully enforces God's true divine Nature and His true laws for human beings can it be said to be a true prophecy from God, instead of a "test."

Finally, in Revelations, most of it, John was not 'foreseeing the future,' but he was instead shown a 'vision' of a future -- one that cannot exist because most of it contradicts the very Nature of God and intelligent designed nature of the human person as "afterlife." The only prophecy in Revelations that I think is truthful is Revelations 21:1 where John 'saw' a "new earth." That prophecy of the "new earth" disproves all the other prophecies in the book of Revelations. It makes them also null. While the "new earth" is and can be God's contract (covenant) with human beings, the other prophecies by John in Revelations cannot be a

contract (covenant) as we have proven in earlier chapters. Only the "new earth" is your awaited afterlife; not a 'spiritual heaven.'

Chapter 17: "God is infinite," we already proved is false. It is an oxymoron, and thus a hoax. We proved in earlier chapters that God cannot cause His own death, but He is held in existence by forces of natural laws of physics that God did not create. That alone, we said, proves God is not 'infinite.' There are laws, a world of physics, of which God is not the author of those physics laws, nor can He change them. Hence, we can conclude God exists in a physical world larger and older than Himself. It made Him, and He did not author it. We also proved that God therefore is not the 'architect of time,' not the 'master of the past, present, and future,' and cannot see all time past and future at once, as some believe. No living Being is 'infinite.' For God to exist He must have a definite shape and size; a definite Body Mind. God is "round," as determined by the laws of physics that generated His existence. We can read that word, "round," and see that people were once taught this fact. "R-o-un-d," or "Are round lone God." They also knew "Lord" says the same thing. "L-o-r-d," "One round are God." And read right to left, as in some dialects, it says, "dro-L," or "the drawer God." The one who authors all our existence. The word "lone" says the same. "L-o-ne," or "One round life," the living God who is round. Finally, the word "God" also says the same. "G-o-d," or "image round God." Again, the lone God who is Singular Person and round, not 'infinite.' He has a definite shape.

Yet let us look at Scripture to prove that God is not 'infinite.' "I, the Lord, am the first, and the last I am he." Isaiah 41:4. "I am the first, I am the last; there is no God but me." Isaiah 44:6. "You are my witnesses ... To know and believe in me and understand that I am he. Before me no god was formed, and after me there shall be none. I, I am the Lord ... not some strange god among you; you are my witnesses ... I am God, yes, from eternity I am he." Isaiah 43:10-13. "Before the mountains were born, the earth and the world brought forth, from eternity to eternity you are God." Psalm 90:2. In scripture, the word "eternity" was meant to say "a very long duration." The phrase "from eternity to eternity" is not fully accurate if it was interpreted as 'without beginning,' because God had a beginning ("formed"), but likely will have no end, as His Body Mind physics life is stable. God therefore had a beginning, but no end. No living Being could exist without a beginning, and that includes God, whose life is dependent on those laws of physics He did not create that must have predated His existence. Thus God is old, but not as old as those laws of physics which simply exist. God is the first born of creation, in His world. And He will be the last one, the only one, existing in His world. As said in Isaiah 43:10-13 above, God states His understanding as the word "formed." "Before me no god was formed, and after me there shall be none (formed)." Isaiah 43:10. That reveals God's understanding of how a God as Himself came into existence, He was "formed" by the physics laws that hold Him in existence. He had a beginning. And God can honestly say, "Of all living, He was the first, the only, and the last." He is the beginning of all life in

His world. "He will be the last" also. But we proved that God cannot change His Nature of His Singular Person nor of His Body, and therefore cannot become 'incarnate' as a man. Jesus is said to have wrongly claimed to be, as in Revelations, "I am the Alpha and Omega ... the one who is and who was and who is to come, the almighty." Revelations 1:8. "I am the Alpha and the Omega, the first and the last, the beginning and the end." Revelations 22:13. Jesus cannot be that, nor is God, who is not 'almighty.' God is who God is, what He is, made ("formed") from laws of physics He did not author. He cannot change those laws, nor change time, therefore God is not 'almighty.' In addition, God can only create as He can, and cannot do what He cannot do. He creates in very specific designed way, and therefore is not 'almighty.' There is only one way God can create and that is by mental imagery and writing senses in and with His Mind. He cannot as some sects believe 'create out of nothing whatever He wants to create.' He is who He is, and cannot be something else.

Chapter 18: "Time travel," and "space travel" are illusions and "tests." As we proved in prior chapters, God is bound in linear space time physics, and cannot see the future, nor visit the future, nor go back into the past. All alleged 'time travel' of cults in history were hoaxes and "tests." We need not discuss that further, because it is an obvious fact about the very Nature of God's existence. Instead, I want to briefly mention the belief by some cults that 'God' or an 'angel' has 'taken them to visit and see other planets.' It is always hoaxes; false 'space travel.' The distance between here and any other planet with intelligent life on it is likely light years away from earth. You could not get there from here in your lifetime. Nor can God move you about through space at such speeds to get you there. However, it is possible for God to author, say Moses, to be suddenly drawn (authored) not on earth, but on some distant planet with life. That is not 'space travel,' as it is merely re-creating Moses on that planet, then later re-creating his singular person here back on earth with "witness memories" to tell about what he saw. There is no 'angel' that can transport you through time, nor through space. It is always a hoax. That is all I want to say on that topic.

Chapter 19: "Earth is the only planet with life," is a hoax. The Nature of God's Personality -- not His Person -- but His Personality, is to "create many countless creatures." It is an attribute of God. He loves to create. And it would be vanity to think that we on earth are the only intelligent life in the universe which God has created. Scientists estimate that there are billions of planets in the universe capable of sustaining life. God created each of those planets. He will do as His Personality does, and that is to create life on those distance planets. Moreover, God loves to be known and to talk with His creatures, and therefore we can know with certainty that God has and will continue to create intelligent life in the universe. And yes, He wants us to study outer space for signs of intelligent life, by radio or other ways of communication. Life on other planets is more proof of God's existence. The Creators Hand is at work daily, in all parts of His universe.

Chapter 20: "Tangential universes" and "multiple universes" cannot exist.

There are cults who in history believe there are 'gateways' between 'overlapping' or 'tangential universes.' They cannot exist. It is hoaxes and "tests." First, the universe is a physical laws world created by God using math in His Mind. It is separate and distinct from the physics law world in which God resides and was "formed." The universe God created is a math world with the same molecules throughout it. It is expanding. Yet its bodies have gravitational pull on each other; and the universe as a whole has a set density gravitational pull that would be exerted on any world nearby within its gravitational pull -- and thus our universe would rip apart any nearby or 'tangential' universe. They cannot co-exist! And if the other alleged 'universe' was larger with more density than ours, it would rip our universe apart. Either way, it would be catastrophic for both. Hence, there is no way for God to create 'nearby universes.' Nor 'tangential universes.' And also no way God can 'overlap' any 'universes.' Not math possible. Two things cannot overlap. Secondly, for that same reason, there are no other universes. Ours is the only universe God has created. It is large enough, and is expanding. If there were another universe, it would likely be expanding also, and would eventually be within the gravitational pull of our universe, for God is only so big. He also has a definite physical law Mind speed and ability to create. One universe is a lot of work for God. God is large, but not 'infinite,' and two universes of the size of ours would be too much work. And they would eventually collide, in a catastrophic end.

Chapter 21: "Omnipotent" and "omnipresent" are not possible. We have already discussed that God is not 'infinite,' and not 'almighty,' because God cannot cause God to cease to exist, but is bound in existence by forces of natural law physics He did not create. He cannot change His shape. He cannot change time. He can only create in ways He can create. He is therefore not 'omnipotent' (not 'almighty'). What about 'omnipresent'? God exists within a physical world of physics laws that He did not create and which is therefore older and larger than He is. He is not present in what is outside of His Body in that world, and cannot see it. He sees Himself. He knows He exists because He thinks rationally. Yet God is equal density throughout His Body and equally thinking in all regions of His Body Mind. He knows every thought He has, and every detail of His creatures that He is animating (authoring) into existence. In that way, God is 'omniscient' only about that which God creates; and 'omnipresent' only throughout His Body and thus throughout the animated (authored) world He creates.

Chapter 22: "Human conception later than fertilization" and "ensoulment" are both hoaxes. Because God is the author and thus definer of when life is "created," He designed a "test" about that fact. He "tests" each person, every state, and all nations, on if they would dare try to usurp His exclusive right to define "human life" -- the singular "moment of human conception." Those who do so are trying to 'make themselves gods' over God. That is idolatry. It is forbidden. The point at which God creates "other," a unique child who is not Himself, nor

can be the genetic body of the mother, nor of the father, is at the moment when the sperm and ovum fuse to form a new unique genetic child, at fertilization. There is no other moment of human conception. Any attempts, as some state legislatures, to move the moment of human conception to a point later than fertilization are all "idolatry." They are playing god. Some states have tried to write statutes that define human conception to later after fertilization to the point when the child migrates into the uterus and 'implants into the uterus,' or at 'implantation.' They did so for political and financial purposes of Planned Parenthood. In the eyes of God, those efforts are all mortal sin, and are not valid 'statutes.' Only His statutes are valid. There is objective law about human conception, and God defined it. There cannot be 'everyone deciding what is right in their own sight' -- 'in their own eyes' -- for as Moses warned, "You shall not do as we are doing here today, everyone doing what is right in their own sight." Deuteronomy 12:8. Rather, God warned, "You shall not put the Lord, your God, to the test ... But keep the commandments of the Lord, your God, and the decrees and statutes he has commanded you. Do what is right and good in the sight of the Lord, that it may go well with you ... as the Lord promised." Deuteronomy 6:16-19. You each are not your own judges of what is right or wrong, when life is conceived or not. God is the judge; what is right is His eyes, as He defined "life," and thus "conception."

Some have argued that 'life does not begin until ensoulment' -- the fiction that God puts a 'spiritual soul' into the developing already conceived body of the child. That cannot exist, as we have proven. Two things cannot be one same thing. Two things cannot be one same "creature." You have only one specific intelligently designed nature, your bodily existence. It is that bodily existence that will be re-created (resurrected) on New Earth. Besides, we proved 'spiritual souls' cannot be designed by God, as they would lack sufficient machine bodily math variables for God to animate them as "others" than Himself. But if you want to pretend 'spiritual soul exist,' then let us look at two examples to prove they do not. First, if God creates a kangaroo single cell joey, but waits until it is much larger developed to 'put a spirit soul into that baby kangaroo,' when is the moment of conception of that kangaroo? At the moment of fertilization is the answer. And the alleged 'ensoulment of a spiritual soul' at some later point of development is a sort of 'possession by a spirit life other than its actual conceived bodily life.' A horror. An oxymoron against the definition of "life;" a 'consubstantiation' that cannot exist. No 'spirit' can go into a person, anyway, as we already proved. Nor would it be 'conception' at such a point of 'ensoulment possession by a spirit,' an unlawful and impossible 'indwelling by a spirit life' other than the bodily life of the already conceived child. Secondly, it would be the same result if God tried to 'put a spirit' into even a single cell human child, as it would again be 'possession indwelling by a spirit life' other than the already conceived bodily life of the human single cell child. The only moment God "conceived a new unique intelligently designed child who has his or her own DNA life" is at "fertilization." No other point of time is 'conception.'

Yet let us look at what Scripture says. "God blessed (the man and woman) and God said to them: Be fertile and multiply; fill the earth ..." Genesis 1:28. God said to be "fertile." Fertilization is roughly defined as "the act or process of making fertile." March's Thesaurus And Dictionary. "Anyone who sheds the blood of a human being, by a human being shall that one's blood be shed; For in the image of God have human beings been made. Be fertile, then, and multiply ..." Genesis 1:6-9. We have proven in this and earlier texts that "made in the image of God" is not about a 'spirit soul,' but is about "able to reason and know God and His laws, to have a relationship with God, to be His sons and daughters, and to have dominion of rule of law over the earth." Let us return to Scripture, which says further, "You shall not kill." Exodus 20:13; Deuteronomy 5:17. "Whoever takes the life of any human being shall be put to death. ... whoever takes a human life shall be put to death." Leviticus 24:17-21. "For all life is mine; the life of the parent is like the life of the child, both are mine." Ezekiel 18:4. "Thus says the Lord who formed you, your help, who formed you from the womb: ... I am the first, I am the Last; there is no God but me." Isaiah 44:2,6. "You formed my inmost being; you knit me in my mother's womb." Psalm 139:13. Remember that in earlier chapter we proved how God sees His own "conception" as Singular Person Divine Being as "formed" by physical laws He did not create. Here God reveals that we are "formed" by His Hand at the moment of conception at fertilization. There also the warning against idolatrous killing of your own child, in Psalm 106, which states, "They sacrificed their own sons and daughters, shedding innocent blood, the blood of their own sons and daughters, whom they sacrificed to the idols ... desecrating the land with bloodshed." Psalm 106:37-41. Thus there is clear warning by God in Scripture not to usurp from His hand the exclusive right and power to define when "life is conceived." And to never dare risk killing your unborn child.

Finally, in Scripture we read about the differences between taking intentionally something that is abortive (abortifacient), compared to an accidental "miscarriage." "When men have a fight and hurt a pregnant woman (accidentally), so that she suffers a miscarriage, but no further injury, the guilty one shall be fined as much as the woman's husband demands of him, and he shall pay in the presence of the judges. But if injury ensues, you shall give life for life, eye for eye ... wound for wound, stripe for stripe." Exodus 21:22-25. I do not agree that an accidental death of an unborn child by men fighting is only a "monetary fine in the presence of a judge." But the point is not about "abortion." It is not about an intentional taking of the life of an unborn child. If the two men had intentionally harmed the child in the womb of the pregnant woman and caused the child's death, it is murder, and God requires them to be punished for the murder. "If someone strikes another with an iron instrument and causes death, that person is a murderer, and the murderer must be put to death. ... However, if someone pushes another without malice aforethought ... and death results ... then the community will judge (if that person gets punished or gets asylum). ...

You will not accept compensation in place of the life of the murderer who deserves to die, but that person shall be put to death. ... You will not pollute the land where you live. For bloodshed pollutes the land, and there can be no expiation for the blood shed on it except through the blood (death penalty) of the one who shed it." Numbers 35:16-34. You may not believe in the death penalty, but you must accept that God's stern language means that, at a minimum, all murderers are "ex communicated" and to be "cut off from the people" -- in "life in prison," if not the "death penalty." I close with this thought, those who intentionally use any method of 'birth control' that is abortifacient (abortive) do so knowing they may cause the murder of any child conceived while using such abortifacients. It is murder in the Eyes and laws of God.

Chapter 23: "Unconditional love," is an oxymoron, and thus negates itself. I return to the topic of the first chapter of this text, and instead state it in a different phrase, that being 'unconditional love,' which some argue is 'love that does not judge a person, but welcomes and treats them with respect and honor no matter how much or often they sin against God.' It does not exist. "Love" is an "agreement to obey specific laws of conduct." It is by definition "conditional," as it is conditioned on obedience to those laws of the agreement. In Scripture, God tells Israel often that His love for them is conditioned on them obeying "all of His commanded laws." Even Jesus, who some wrongly profess as a 'patron saint of unconditional love,' said, "If you love me you will obey all My Father's commanded laws." "Heaven and earth shall pass away before the smallest letter of any of my Father's laws will pass away." Paraphrased, see Matthew 5:18-19; Luke 16:17. Thus even Christians do not really believe in 'unconditional love.' Not only that example, but some Christians believe Jesus said that 'if you do not accept me as the son of God, you cannot be saved.' Hence, they do not believe in 'unconditional love.' And again, some Christian wrongly quote a passage saying that 'God is love.' They do this, as we said, based upon an errant syllogism that believed that God is 'infinite' and that all His attributes are thus 'Himself,' instead of things He does. Let us read what Scripture actually says. "See, I have today set before you life and good, death and evil. If you obey the commandments of the Lord, your God, which I am giving you today, loving the Lord, your God, and walking in his ways, and keeping his commandments, statutes and ordinances, you will live and grow numerous and the Lord, your God, will bless you ... If, however, your heart turns away and you do not obey ... you will certainly perish ... I call on heaven and earth today to witness against you: I have set before you life and death, the blessing and the curse. Choose life ..." Deuteronomy 30:15-20. "But if you do not heed me and do not keep all these commandments, if you reject my statutes and loathe my decrees, refusing to obey all my commandments and breaking my covenant, then I, in turn, will do this to you (a list of punishments and curses)." Leviticus 26:14-17. "Only be strong and steadfast, be careful to observe the entire law which Moses my servant enjoined on you. Do not swerve from it either to the right or to the left, that you may succeed wherever you go. Do not let this book of law

depart from your lips. Recite it by day and by night; that you may carefully observe all that is written in it." Joshua 1:1-9.

Love is an "if ... then" condition. "If you obey my contract (covenant) laws, then I will love and bless you." "If you do not obey my contract (covenant) laws, I will not love you, but will harm and punish you." There is no such thing as 'unconditional' 'love.' The two words cannot co-exist in the same sentence. There is also a warning from God that He will "test" you about your obedience, and therefore about whether "you love Him with all your heart and strength." Because the people did not obey God's laws, God "tested" them with false 'statutes and ordinances' that God authored as 'opposite of true laws.' "But their children rebelled against me. ... Therefore I gave them statutes that were not good, and ordinances through which they could not have life." Ezekiel 20:13-38. That is God's confession that He "tests" people with such fake 'laws,' to see if they will instead insist on His real laws. How could He "test" you about obedience to His every law if He did not invent some competing false-laws? God authors such evil false 'laws' as such "tests" of humanity, and He rejected those who wanted the fake 'laws' instead of obedience to His real laws of the contract (covenant). Is such "testing" a form of 'unconditional love'? No. It is a "test," and is not 'loving,' as I see it. Now there are people who wrongly believe 'God never tests anyone, not the Jews, nor anyone.' They quote an errant verse from James, which says, "No one experiencing temptation should say, 'I am being tempted by God; for God is not subject to temptation to evil, and he himself tempts no one.'" James 1:13. Really? Even Jesus taught his followers to pray, "Father, do not put us to the test," so plainly Jesus believed that God "tests" us. "Tests" are the equivalent of "temptations." God "tempted" the Israelites with 'false laws' that "were not good, and through which they could not have life." Those that fell for such false 'laws,' God cut off from the contract (covenant) community of life.

Most 'miracles' and 'prophecies' are "tests." Those who seek 'miracles' or 'prophecies' as if those 'miracles confirm that God loves them' are not seeking the true covenant of love. Those who seek 'miracles' as if they 'confirm the truth of a particular dogma' are mistaken. Read Deuteronomy 13:2-4 again. I think of Jesus, and Paul, and Francis, and Forgione (Pio), and ask you was the Lord, God 'loving them' when God authored those "tests" of miracles for false dogma teachings against His Name and true Singular Divine Person? No, God was not 'unconditionally loving them.' It is not 'love;' it was "tests." In Acts 9:1-19, we read of Saul who became Paul. He was a Jewish priest who was punishing Christians for their blasphemy against the lone Person of God. He was knocked down by an 'apparition' or 'vision' of Jesus, blinded, and told what to do to have his sight restored -- something only God can do, namely blind and restore sight to a person. Paul had the stigmata it is believed, and was later crucified for his belief in Jesus. Did God 'unconditionally love' Paul with all of those miracle "tests"? Why would God author miracles for Christianity or for other cults of satanists, as

both have documented miracles and prophecies, as do nearly every religious sect on earth, no matter which of them, they all claim countless miracles that only God could author. Why? They are all "tests," for they all are for differing -- very different -- beliefs and dogmas throughout the world. All I can warn you is that "miracles do not confirm the truth of a purported dogma." They do not. God makes countless 'miracles' for countless tribes, and cults, sects, and religious denominations, all of which disagree with each other about matters of dogma. The warning of Deuteronomy 13:1-6 is true, and it should be listened to with all your heart. Do not seek 'miracles,' but seek obedience to God's laws. As to Paul, we have proven that God cannot change His divine Singular Person Nature, and thus cannot be 'incarnate.' Jesus, therefore, is not God; and Paul was used to perpetrate a "test" against humanity, as was Isaiah before him in his 'messianic prophecies' we discussed earlier.

Was it 'love' when God "hardened Pharaoh's heart" so that Pharaoh would not repent and thus failed to let the Israelites go free? No, it was not 'love.' It was God's plan that Pharaoh fail "so that my wonders may be multiplied in the land of Egypt." Exodus 11:9-10; 10:27; 4:21. Was it 'love' when God authored Isaiah to 'prophecy' about a future 'messiah' who 'would suffer and die for the sins of many'? No, it was not 'love.' But it was God's plan to perpetrate a "test" against the earth. Did Paul, and Isaiah, and Pharaoh have free-will at those moments? No. God authored their actions in each case according to His purpose to "test" earth. Hence we can conclude that "God uses" some people to carry out aspects of those "tests." It is my prayer that God make it up to such persons when God creates the New Earth. Yet the point is this, God we know can be, as promised in the contract (covenant) unloving toward those who disobey His laws. And we know God can do unloving things I call "tests," but others call "temptations." And we know that God can in unloving ways "use" others to perpetrate and carry out aspects of the "tests" He authors. None of that is 'unconditional love,' which does not exist. To say that 'God is love,' or that 'God is unconditional love' is heresy, and idolatry. God is loving to those who obey His every law. That is the contract (covenant). "Real love" will be when God authors your body and mind obedient to all of His intelligent designed laws for human beings, without temptations, without "tests." That could happen on earth now. But it will be surely realized on the New Earth.

Chapter 24: Closing Summary. We have seen the world is filled with 'beliefs' that cannot exist in reality. There is no more important decision in your life that to learn and understand the true Nature of God -- His Singular Divine Person -- and His true ability to create "others." When that is understood, true doctrine comes into real focus. God commands each of you to know and love God the way He is; not as He is not. This includes learning and meditating on His true commanded laws. We have seen that when God commands obedience to a law, He will invent 'opposite of that law' phrases and miracles associated with it to "test" you. The way to avoid falling for such 'opposite of law' sayings or

parables or associated 'miracles' is to remember God cannot nullify Himself, nor nullify His original contract (covenant) commanded laws given to Adam, Noah, Abraham, Moses, and all the trustworthy prophets throughout history. Jesus we have proven is often saying the 'opposite' of God's real Nature Person, and the 'opposite' of God's true commanded laws. He is in that sense the 'nullification' of God; a "tests" contrary to God and His long established laws.

Let us again look to Scripture and what it says. "I will destroy the names of the idols from the land, so that they be mentioned no more." Zechariah 13:2. "You shall not mention the name of any other god; it shall not be heard from your lips." Exodus 23:13. "They are without knowledge who bear wooden idols, and pray to gods that cannot save." Isaiah 45:20. "Every word that I command you, you shall be careful to observe, neither adding to it nor subtracting from it. If there arises in your midst a prophet or a dreamer who promises you a sign or a wonder, saying, 'Let us go after other gods,' whom you have not known, 'and let us serve them,' and the sign or wonder foretold to you comes to pass, do not listen to the words of that prophet or that dreamer; for the Lord, your God, is testing you to know whether you really love the Lord, your God ... But that prophet or that dreamer shall be put to death, because, in order to lead you astray from the way which the Lord, your God, has commanded you take, the prophet or dreamer has spoken apostasy against the Lord, your God ... Thus shall you purge the evil from your midst." Deuteronomy 13:1-6. What happened to Jesus was a consequence of that law and its warning given to Moses centuries before. Jesus is perhaps the largest and most elaborate "test" God has invented against His true divine Singular Person Nature, and against the designed nature of each human person. There is so much paranormal in Christian denominations that you will likely fail the "tests" unless you always keep close to God and remember His Nature as He is; not as He cannot be. Remember God will "test" you, and 'miracles' are seldom 'proof of the dogma asserted.' There are too many miracles for divergent types of beliefs throughout the world. Few of them prove the dogma asserted. Only when a miracle proves the true Nature of God's Singular Person and the true nature He designed for human beings, including our true afterlife on New Earth, then it can be said that is a truthful and proper "miracle of God."

Thus, there can be no more important decision than to learn who God is, and what are His real laws and plan for human beings. As stated, that includes your bodily life re-created on New Earth. "See, I am creating new heavens and a new earth; the former things shall not be remembered nor come to mind. Indeed, shout for joy and be glad forever in what I am creating. ... No longer shall the sound of weeping be heard there, or the sound of crying; no longer shall there be in it an infant who lives but a few days, nor anyone who does not live a full lifetime; One who dies at a hundred years shall be considered a youth, ... For they shall be a people blessed by the Lord and their descendants with them. Before they call, I will answer; while they are yet speaking, I will hear. The wolf

and the lamb shall pasture together, and the lion shall eat hay like the ox ...None shall harm or destroy on all my holy mountain, says the Lord. ... Just as the new heavens and the new earth which I am making shall endure before me -- so shall your descendants and your name endure." Isaiah 65:17-25; 66:22.

I close this text with a prayer. "O God, in days of old you did numerous signs, wonders, and miracles to confirm your true identity and laws, the commandments to govern your people. Yet you have also made many paranormal miracles that test and deceived many who fell for those tests thinking they were 'proof' that a heresy was 'truth.' Repent, O Lord, the people need clear and convincing guidance, not confusion. Be our Mother (Father). Make your true Self known on all the earth. If it pleases you, make miracles as of old that prove who you are and that put an end to all idols in all lands. Make yourself and your laws known. Make the earth obey you again. Amen."